PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

REC'D 0 4 APR 2005

PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 03P127	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).		
International Application No.	International Filing Da (day/month/year)	Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/AU2003/001591	28 November 2003	29 November 2002		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification an	d IPC		
Int. Cl. 7 B23B 51/00, B23B 27/00, B23	D 77/00	·		
Applicant		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MUGELI, David, Rees				
	•			
		•		
This international preliminary examinat is transmitted to the applicant according	tion report has been prep g to Article 36.	ared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3	nis REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
This report is also accompanied by	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been			
antended and are the pasts for this	amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).			
i nese annexes consist of a total o	These annexes consist of a total of sheet(s).			
. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
I X Basis of the report		,		
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of opi	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
V X Reasoned statement unde citations and explanations				
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the inte	ernational application			
VIII Certain observations on the		on		
Date of submission of the demand 28 June 2004	1 '	ate of completion of the report		
) March 2005		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE				
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALI E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		B. NATH		
		elephone No. (02) 6283 2126		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT ·

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/001591

I.	Basis of the repor	rt				
1.		nents of the international application:*				
	X the international	application as originally filed.				
	the description,	pages, as originally filed,				
ļ		pages, filed with the demand,				
	•	pages, received on with the letter of				
	the claims,	pages, as originally filed,				
-	_	pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,				
		pages , filed with the demand,				
		pages, received on with the letter of				
	the drawings,	pages , as originally filed,				
	-	pages, filed with the demand,				
		pages, received on with the letter of				
	the sequence listi	ng part of the description:				
	-	pages , as originally filed				
		pages , filed with the demand				
		pages, received on with the letter of				
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
	the language of p	ublication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2				
3.	With regard to any nucl preliminary examinat	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international ion was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
	contained in the in	nternational application in written form.				
		the international application in computer readable form.				
		ently to this Authority in written form.				
		ently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	The statement that	t the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the cation as filed has been furnished.				
		the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has				
4.	The amendments !	have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	the descri	ption, pages				
	the claims	• • • • •				
	the drawing					
5.						
*	go objoile the disc	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to closure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**				
	report as "originally file	th have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this d" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).				
**	Any replacement sheet co	ontaining such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report				

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/001591

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement	·	
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-33	YES
	Claims None	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims None	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-33	NO
	Claims 1-33	YES
	Claims None	NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Documents:

D1: WO 1984/003461 A (SCOTT), 13 September 1984

D2: DD 203260A (SCHWOBODA), 19 October 1983

D3: DE 29700943U1 (SANTOWSKI), 13 March 1997

2.1 Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS)

a). Novelty

Each of the documents D1-D3 discloses a wasted safety section (item 34, figure 1 in D1; item 3 in figure in D2 and item 15, figure 1 in D3) but none discloses the section having a "predetermined shear torque rating" as defined in Claim 1 which feature makes Claim 1 and the appended Claims 2-33 as novel.

b). Inventive Step

The problem identified to be solved by the alleged invention is "to reduce the safety risk" for an operator (see lines 8-9, page 2 of the description). Prior art documents D1-D3 discloses the constructional aspects i.e providing a wasted safety section ("fail safe shear groove cut in the box shank of the drill attachment", see lines 23-25, page 3; and also paragraph 2, page 7 of D1).

Having identified the problem, the solution viz. providing "a predetermined shear torque rating" (line 9, claim 1) would have been obvious to a person skilled in the relevant art without having to use his/her inventive ingenuity as it is common to have <u>predetermined ratings</u> in cutting tool technology. Thus Claim 1 is considered to be lacking an inventive step over the prior art.

Features added to by the appended claims 2-33 relate only to conventional manufacturing techniques or are features which are typical in the devices of the type and are therefore considered not to be inventive.

2.2 All the claims conform to the criteria of Industrial Applicability